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An iterative technique for improving the temporal focusing of a time reversal mirror is proposed and tested. A single amplification parameter is introduced to tune the convergence of the iteration. The tunable iterative technique has been validated by tests on an experimental electromagnetic time reversal mirror, as well as on a novel numerical model.

Introduction: Spatiotemporal focusing of waves has applications in fields such as imaging and communication. Time reversal (TR) mirrors have been used to focus waves in both space and time [1]. An ideal TR mirror consists of a wave source located inside a lossless medium that is completely enclosed by a surface of transceivers, which record and absorb the signal initially broadcast by the source. Later, the transceivers rebroadcast a time reversed version of the recorded waves and, because of the TR invariance of the lossless wave equation, the waves focus on the location of the source and reconstruct a time reversed version of the original signal. In practice, TR mirrors have several limitations that result in loss of information about the waves broadcast by the source; these include (i) limited coverage by the transceivers, and (ii) dissipation during the wave propagation (which breaks TR invariance) [2, 3].

The first limitation of TR mirrors can be overcome by the use of a reflecting wave chaotic cavity with partial spatial coverage of the transceivers, along with a long recording time [3]. However, the limitation due to dissipation persists, and leads to increasing loss of information as the recording time increases. The loss of information during the reconstruction results in temporal and spatial sidelobes of the reconstructed pulse. In previous work, we used the compensating technique of exponential-in-time amplification of the rebroadcasted time-reversed signal to partially undo the adverse effects of dissipation, and to enhance the range of sensors which utilise TR mirrors [2, 4]. However, this technique does not improve the temporal focusing of the reconstructed pulse.

On the other hand, [5] has introduced an iterative TR technique which has been shown to be effective in eliminating the spatiotemporal sidelobes of the reconstructed pulse. The iterative technique can be useful in applications in which TR mirrors could benefit from enhanced focusing [6]. In this Letter, we introduce into the iteration method an amplification parameter to compensate for dissipation. By tuning this parameter, we can substantially improve the accuracy and convergence of the iterative focusing technique. This is demonstrated both experimentally and numerically.

Iterative time reversal algorithm with convergence parameter: The iterative TR method was first introduced using acoustic waves [5]. Consider a regular TR mirror operation that involves broadcasting an original pulse, \( O \), into a cavity with a single port. Denote the scattering parameter of the system by \( H \). We call the response signal received at the port the \( \text{sona} \), \( S \). From now on, all of these signals are considered in the frequency domain, and hence \( S_1 = HO + b_0 \); the subscript on \( S \) indexes the iteration, and \( b_0 \) is additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). For a regular TR (which is the first step of the iteration), the \( \text{sona}, S_1 \), is time reversed (phase conjugated, as \( S_1 \), in the frequency domain) and broadcast back into the cavity to retrieve the reconstructed pulse at the first iteration, \( R_1 = 2b_0 + a_1 = HH^*O^* + Hb_0^* + a_1 \). Here, \( a_1 \) is AWGN that is picked up during the recording of \( R_1 \). Note that in the ideal case \( b_0 = a_1 = 0 \) (no noise) and \( |HH^*|^2 = 1 \) (no cavity losses), and \( R_1 \) is thus equal to \( O^* \) (i.e. a time reversed original signal). However, if losses are present \( |HH^*|^2 \) is frequency dependent and less than unity. The iterative algorithm calculates a new \( \text{sona} \) signal, \( S_{n+1} \), by subtracting a correction signal, \( C_n \), from the previous \( \text{sona}, S_n \) (i.e. \( S_{n+1} = S_n - C_n \)), and the algorithm uses the newly calculated \( \text{sona}, S_{n+1} \), to generate a new reconstructed pulse, \( R_{n+1} \), iteratively (i.e. \( R_{n+1} = 2b_0 + a_{n+1} \)).

The correction signal can be interpreted as the part of the \( \text{sona} \) that resulted in the sidelobes during the reconstruction. The correction signal is obtained by first computing the sidelobes in \( R_n \) which are given by \( R_n - O^* \). Then, the sidelobes during the \( n \)th reconstruction, \( R_n - O^* \), are time reversed and broadcast into the system to determine the correction signal, \( C_n = H (R_n - O^*)^*k + b_n \). Once again, \( b_n \) is AWGN that is picked up while \( C_n \) is recorded.

The advantage of introducing the parameter \( k \) is revealed by the expression for the \( n \)th iterated reconstructed pulse \( R_n \) that is derived from the previous equations:

\[
R_n = [1 - (1 - HH^*k)^{n-1}]O + HH^*(1 - HH^*k)^{n-1}O^*
+ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - HH^*k)HH^*a_{n-j} + a_n
\]

Note that for \( k = 1 \), (1) reduces to the result in [5]. The goal of the algorithm is to make \( R_n \) approach \( O^* \) (the time reversed version of the original pulse) as \( n \) increases. The first two terms in (1) show that the convergence of the iteration can be hastened if \( k \) is chosen to make \( HH^*k \) as close to 1 as possible over the bandwidth of the pulse, and always less than 2. The optimum \( k \) value for the fastest convergence of the iteration is found after an initial reference experiment to measure \( H \). The optimum \( k \) is dependent on \( H \) and the AWGN in the system.

Electromagnetic experimental setup: We experimentally tested our method on a \( 1 \) m\(^3\) aluminium box resonant cavity with interior scatterers. The box has two electrical ports that are connected to an oscilloscope, and a microwave source (see Fig. 1). Reciprocity between the two ports simplifies the experiment because the connections to the oscilloscope and the source need not be exchanged. Fig. 1 illustrates how the two steps of the regular TR (i.e. the first step of the iterative algorithm) are carried out [7]. Although our derivation above assumed a one-port situation, we expect [7] that it will also work on this two-port configuration.

Fig. 1 Schematic of electromagnetic time reversal mirror experiment

During step 1 of TR mirror, original pulse is broadcast through antenna 1 and resulting \( \text{sona} \) is collected at antenna 2.

Next, time reversed \( \text{sona} \) is injected into system at antenna 1 to retrieve reconstructed time reversed pulse at antenna 2 using spatial reciprocity.

Experimental data are shown for each step.

Fig. 2 Experimental time reversed pulse reconstructed after 25 iterations using \( k = 110 \) (red) is overlaid on the pulse reconstructed without iterative technique (blue).

Inset top right corner shows close-up view of how sidelobes are suppressed by iterative technique experimentally.

Inset bottom right corner shows close-up view of suppression of sidelobes after 25 iterations in noiseless numerical model using \( k = 2.5 \); inset bottom left corner shows a schematic of transmission line model.
Transmission line model: We also numerically tested our method by simulation of a model consisting of a driving transmission line that is connected to a number of transmission lines that are connected in parallel with each other (known as a star graph). A sketch of the transmission line model is shown as an inset to Fig. 2. There are 50 transmission lines, each with some specified length and loss constant, terminated by open circuits. The pulse is injected through the driving line. After the pulse reverberates through the lines connected in parallel, it comes back out through the driving line to form the model sona. This simple model system captures the essence of multiple pulse trajectories inside complicated 3D scattering systems.

Conclusions: An iterative time reversal technique has been demonstrated experimentally using electromagnetic waves in a microwave chaotic cavity, and by simulation. A new amplification parameter is introduced into the iterative algorithm to control the rate of convergence of the iteration. The optimum value of this parameter is dictated by the scattering properties of the system, and, to a lesser extent, by the noise.
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